

MUCHNICK V. DHS

CASE NO.: 15-cv-03060-CRB (Northern District California)

VAUGHN INDEX

Document No.	Number of Pages	Document Description	Exemption	Reason for Withholding
1	Pages 3-5	3 page decision discussing an N-400 application dated 12/2/10.	Withheld in full pursuant to 552(b)(6)	<u>PP</u> Information regarding a private individual has been redacted in accordance with FOIA exemption (b)(6). Specifically, the individual's name, address, assigned "alien number," personal information included in an application for an immigration benefit, and the nature of the benefit(s) applied for by the applicant. The disclosure of this information would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. This information will not enhance the public's understanding of how the Agency performs its statutory duties -- further evidence that there is no discernable public interest in the disclosure of this information. An individual's right to privacy will always outweigh an unperceivable public interest in the disclosure of such information. Consequently, this information is entitled to the protection of FOIA exemption (b)(6) since its disclosure would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of the individuals' right to personal privacy.
2	Pages 6-15	10 page N-400 Application for Naturalization (OMB No. 1615-0052)	Withheld in full pursuant to 552(b)(6)	<u>PP</u> Information regarding a private individual has been redacted in accordance with FOIA exemption (b)(6). Specifically, the individual's name, address, date of birth, social security number, assigned "alien number," phone number, e-mail address, personal physical information, educational information, employment history, name of spouse, and names of children. Dates of birth and address for children, personal associations and memberships, and the nature of the benefit(s) applied for by the applicant. The disclosure of this information would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. This information will not enhance the public's understanding of how the Agency performs its statutory duties -- further evidence that there is no discernable public interest in the disclosure of this information. An individual's right to privacy will always outweigh an unperceivable public interest in the disclosure of such information. Consequently, this information is entitled to the protection of FOIA exemption (b)(6) since its disclosure would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of the individuals' right to personal privacy.

MUCHNICK V. DHS

CASE NO.: 15-cv-03060-CRB (Northern District California)

VAUGHN INDEX

3	Page 18	1 page record of information disclosure	Withheld in full pursuant to 552(b)(6)	<p><u>PP</u> Information regarding a private individual has been redacted in accordance with FOIA exemption (b)(6). Specifically, the individual's name, address, date of birth, and assigned "alien number." The disclosure of this information would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. This information will not enhance the public's understanding of how the Agency performs its statutory duties -- further evidence that there is no discernable public interest in the disclosure of this information. An individual's right to privacy will always outweigh an unperceivable public interest in the disclosure of such information. Consequently, this information is entitled to the protection of FOIA exemption (b)(6) since its disclosure would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of the individuals' right to personal privacy.</p>
4	Page 20	1 page of handwritten notes, prepared by an immigration officer.	Withheld in full pursuant to 552(b)(6)	<p><u>PP</u> Information regarding a private individual has been redacted in accordance with FOIA exemption (b)(6). Specifically, the individual's name, and assigned "alien number." This document also includes the name and phone number of a municipal police officer. The disclosure of this information would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. This information will not enhance the public's understanding of how the Agency performs its statutory duties -- further evidence that there is no discernable public interest in the disclosure of this information. An individual's right to privacy will always outweigh an unperceivable public interest in the disclosure of such information. Consequently, this information is entitled to the protection of FOIA exemption (b)(6) since its disclosure would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of the individuals' right to personal privacy.</p>
5	Page 21	1 page handwritten sworn statement dated 9/27/10.	Withheld in full pursuant to 552(b)(6)	<p><u>PP</u> Information regarding a private individual has been redacted in accordance with FOIA exemption (b)(6). Specifically, the individual's name, and information regarding the personal history of the named individual. The disclosure of this information would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. This information will not enhance the public's understanding of how the Agency performs its statutory duties -- further</p>

MUCHNICK V. DHS

CASE NO.: 15-cv-03060-CRB (Northern District California)

VAUGHN INDEX

				evidence that there is no discernable public interest in the disclosure of this information. An individual's right to privacy will always outweigh an unperceivable public interest in the disclosure of such information. Consequently, this information is entitled to the protection of FOIA exemption (b)(6) since its disclosure would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of the individuals' right to personal privacy.
6	Pages 22-24	3 page record of Sworn Statement dated 9/27/10.	Withheld in full pursuant to 552(b)(6)	<u>PP</u> Information regarding a private individual has been redacted in accordance with FOIA exemption (b)(6). Specifically, the individual's name, alien number, date of birth, citizenship information, and information regarding the personal history of the named individual. The disclosure of this information would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. This information will not enhance the public's understanding of how the Agency performs its statutory duties -- further evidence that there is no discernable public interest in the disclosure of this information. An individual's right to privacy will always outweigh an unperceivable public interest in the disclosure of such information. Consequently, this information is entitled to the protection of FOIA exemption (b)(6) since its disclosure would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of the individuals' right to personal privacy.
7	Pages 26-29	4 page document related to a request for an immigration benefit dated 9/27/10.	Withheld in full pursuant to 552(b)(6)	<u>PP</u> Information regarding a private individual has been redacted in accordance with FOIA exemption (b)(6). Specifically, the individual's name and alien number. Further, the disclosure of this document would reveal the nature of a requested immigration benefit and, therefore, constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. This information will not enhance the public's understanding of how the Agency performs its statutory duties -- further evidence that there is no discernable public interest in the disclosure of this information. An individual's right to privacy will always outweigh an unperceivable public interest in the disclosure of such information. Consequently, this information is entitled to the protection of FOIA exemption (b)(6) since its disclosure would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of the individuals' right to personal privacy.

MUCHNICK V. DHS

CASE NO.: 15-cv-03060-CRB (Northern District California)

VAUGHN INDEX

8	Page 30	1 page Form N-652, Naturalization Interview Results dated 9/27/10 (Form N-652)	Withheld in full pursuant to 552(b)(6)	<p><u>PP</u> Information regarding a private individual has been redacted in accordance with FOIA exemption (b)(6). Specifically, the individual's name and alien number. Further, the disclosure of this document would reveal the nature of a requested immigration benefit and, therefore, constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. This information will not enhance the public's understanding of how the Agency performs its statutory duties -- further evidence that there is no discernable public interest in the disclosure of this information. An individual's right to privacy will always outweigh an unperceivable public interest in the disclosure of such information. Consequently, this information is entitled to the protection of FOIA exemption (b)(6) since its disclosure would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of the individuals' right to personal privacy.</p>
9	Page 33	1 page coversheet for a law enforcement background check document	Withheld in full pursuant to 552(b)(6), (b)(7)(C), and (b)(7)(E)	<p><u>PP</u> Information regarding a private individual has been redacted in accordance with FOIA exemption (b)(6). Specifically, the public release of this document would reveal results of a background check. The disclosure of this information constitutes a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. This information will not enhance the public's understanding of how the Agency performs its statutory duties -- further evidence that there is no discernable public interest in the disclosure of this information. An individual's right to privacy will always outweigh an unperceivable public interest in the disclosure of such information. Consequently, this information is entitled to the protection of FOIA exemption (b)(6) since its disclosure would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of the individuals' right to personal privacy.</p> <p><u>LEP</u> FOIA exemption (b)(7)(C) protects records or information compiled for law enforcement purposes, where the production of such law enforcement records or information could reasonably be expected to constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. The portion of this document, which was prepared in the course of administering the Immigration and</p>

MUCHNICK V. DHS

CASE NO.: 15-cv-03060-CRB (Northern District California)

VAUGHN INDEX

				<p>Nationality Act, is redacted under (b)(7)(C) and includes the names of an immigration officer associated with the background check. This information pertains solely to third parties and the disclosure of this information will not enhance the public’s understanding of how the Agency performs its statutory duties -- further evidence that there is no discernible public interest in the disclosure of this information. This individual has a significant interest in avoiding unwanted public attention, notoriety or potential harassment. An individual’s right to privacy will always outweigh an unperceivable public interest in the disclosure of such information.</p> <p><u>LES</u> FOIA exemption (b)(7)(E) affords protection to all law enforcement information that would disclose techniques and procedures for law enforcement investigations or prosecutions, or would disclose guidelines for law enforcement investigations or prosecutions if such disclosure could reasonably be expected to risk circumvention of the law. The “law” to be enforced within the meaning of the term “law enforcement purposes” includes both civil and criminal statutes, as well as those statutes authorizing administrative proceedings. The information redacted from this document illustrates the manner in which law enforcement agencies participate in the background check process. The public disclosure of this information would allow for the circumvention of immigration employment laws by those investigated by immigration authorities, and nullify USCIS’ ability to ensure the integrity of the Immigration and Nationality Act.</p>
10	Page 34	1 page Notification memorandum sent to applicant regarding N-400 application dated 5/28/10.	Withheld in full pursuant to 552(b)(6)	<p><u>PP</u> Information regarding a private individual has been redacted in accordance with FOIA exemption (b)(6). Specifically, the individual’s name, address, and alien number. Further, the disclosure of this document would reveal the nature of a requested immigration benefit and, therefore constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. This information will not enhance the public’s understanding of how the Agency performs its statutory duties -- further evidence that there is no discernible public interest in the disclosure of this information. An individual’s right to privacy will always outweigh an unperceivable public interest in the disclosure of such</p>

MUCHNICK V. DHS

CASE NO.: 15-cv-03060-CRB (Northern District California)

VAUGHN INDEX

				information. Consequently, this information is entitled to the protection of FOIA exemption (b)(6) since its disclosure would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of the individuals' right to personal privacy.
11	Page 35	1 page biographical information page	Withheld in full pursuant to 552(b)(6)	<u>PP</u> Information regarding a private individual has been redacted in accordance with FOIA exemption (b)(6). Specifically, the individual's name, address, date of birth, driver's license number, and biometric information (fingerprints). Further, the disclosure of this document would reveal the nature of a requested immigration benefit and, therefore constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. This information will not enhance the public's understanding of how the Agency performs its statutory duties -- further evidence that there is no discernable public interest in the disclosure of this information. An individual's right to privacy will always outweigh an unperceivable public interest in the disclosure of such information. Consequently, this information is entitled to the protection of FOIA exemption (b)(6) since its disclosure would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of the individuals' right to personal privacy.
12	Page 37	Copy of detective's business card	Withheld in full pursuant to 552(b)(6)	<u>PP</u> Information regarding a private individual has been redacted in accordance with FOIA exemption (b)(6). Specifically, this document contains personal contact information for a municipal police department detective. The disclosure of this document would reveal the nature of a requested immigration benefit and, therefore constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. This information will not enhance the public's understanding of how the Agency performs its statutory duties -- further evidence that there is no discernable public interest in the disclosure of this information. An individual's right to privacy will always outweigh an unperceivable public interest in the disclosure of such information. Consequently, this information is entitled to the protection of FOIA exemption (b)(6) since its disclosure would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of the individuals' right to personal privacy.
13	Page 38	1 page USCIS national	Withheld in	<u>PP</u>

MUCHNICK V. DHS

CASE NO.: 15-cv-03060-CRB (Northern District California)

VAUGHN INDEX

		benefits Center scan sheet	full pursuant to 552(b)(6)	Information regarding a private individual has been redacted in accordance with FOIA exemption (b)(6). Specifically, this document contains identification numbers and addresses for an individual applicant for an immigration benefit. The disclosure of this document would reveal the nature of a requested immigration benefit and, therefore constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. This information will not enhance the public's understanding of how the Agency performs its statutory duties -- further evidence that there is no discernable public interest in the disclosure of this information. An individual's right to privacy will always outweigh an unperceivable public interest in the disclosure of such information. Consequently, this information is entitled to the protection of FOIA exemption (b)(6) since its disclosure would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of the individuals' right to personal privacy.
14	Pages 39-42	4 pages of USCIS internal routing slips	Withheld in full pursuant to 552(b)(6), (b)(7)(C), and (b)(7)(E)	<p><u>PP</u> Information regarding a private individual has been redacted in accordance with FOIA exemption (b)(6). Specifically, the public release of this document would reveal results of a background check. The disclosure of this information constitutes a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. This information will not enhance the public's understanding of how the Agency performs its statutory duties -- further evidence that there is no discernable public interest in the disclosure of this information. An individual's right to privacy will always outweigh an unperceivable public interest in the disclosure of such information. Consequently, this information is entitled to the protection of FOIA exemption (b)(6) since its disclosure would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of the individuals' right to personal privacy.</p> <p><u>LEP</u> FOIA exemption (b)(7)(C) protects records or information compiled for law enforcement purposes, where the production of such law enforcement records or information could reasonably be expected to constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. The portion of this document, which was prepared in the course of administering the Immigration and Nationality Act, is redacted under (b)(7)(C), and includes the names of an</p>

MUCHNICK V. DHS

CASE NO.: 15-cv-03060-CRB (Northern District California)

VAUGHN INDEX

				immigration officer associated with the background check. This information pertains solely to third parties and the disclosure of this information will not enhance the public's understanding of how the Agency performs its statutory duties -- further evidence that there is no discernible public interest in the disclosure of this information. This individual has a significant interest in avoiding unwanted public attention, notoriety or potential harassment. An individual's right to privacy will always outweigh an unperceivable public interest in the disclosure of such information.
15	Page 43	1 page N-400 routing sheet prepared by an Immigration officer	Withheld in full pursuant to 552(b)(6)	<u>PP</u> Information regarding a private individual has been redacted in accordance with FOIA exemption (b)(6). Specifically, the routing sheet includes the name of the processing immigration officer, the subject's alien number, and specific information about the processing of an application for an immigration benefit. The public release of this document would reveal results of a background check. The disclosure of this information constitutes a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. This information will not enhance the public's understanding of how the Agency performs its statutory duties -- further evidence that there is no discernible public interest in the disclosure of this information. An individual's right to privacy will always outweigh an unperceivable public interest in the disclosure of such information. Consequently, this information is entitled to the protection of FOIA exemption (b)(6) since its disclosure would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of the individuals' right to personal privacy.
16	Page 44-45	N-400 Adjudication Processing Worksheet dated 12/12/10	Withheld in full pursuant to 552(b)(6)	<u>PP</u> Information regarding a private individual has been redacted in accordance with FOIA exemption (b)(6). Specifically, the routing sheet includes the name of the processing immigration officer, the subject's alien number, and specific information about the processing of an application for an immigration benefit. The public release of this document would reveal results of a background check. The disclosure of this information constitutes a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. This information will not enhance the public's understanding of how the Agency performs its statutory duties -- further evidence that there is no discernible public interest

MUCHNICK V. DHS

CASE NO.: 15-cv-03060-CRB (Northern District California)

VAUGHN INDEX

				in the disclosure of this information. An individual's right to privacy will always outweigh an unperceivable public interest in the disclosure of such information. Consequently, this information is entitled to the protection of FOIA exemption (b)(6) since its disclosure would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of the individuals' right to personal privacy.
17	Page 46-47	2 page record of results generated by electronic database	Withheld in full pursuant to 552(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) and (b)(7)(E)	<p><u>PP</u> Information regarding a private individual has been redacted in accordance with FOIA exemption (b)(6). Specifically, the routing sheet includes the name and date of birth of an applicant for an immigration benefit. The public release of this document would reveal results of a background check. The disclosure of this information would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. This information will not enhance the public's understanding of how the Agency performs its statutory duties -- further evidence that there is no discernable public interest in the disclosure of this information. An individual's right to privacy will always outweigh an unperceivable public interest in the disclosure of such information. Consequently, this information is entitled to the protection of FOIA exemption (b)(6) since its disclosure would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of the individuals' right to personal privacy.</p> <p><u>LEP</u> FOIA exemption (b)(7)(C) protects records or information compiled for law enforcement purposes, where the production of such law enforcement records or information could reasonably be expected to constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. The portion of this document, which was prepared in the course of administering the Immigration and Nationality Act, is redacted under (b)(7)(C) and includes the identifying information associated with immigration officers. This information pertains solely to third parties and the disclosure of this information will not enhance the public's understanding of how the Agency performs its statutory duties -- further evidence that there is no discernible public interest in the disclosure of this information. These individuals have a significant interest in avoiding unwanted public attention, notoriety or potential harassment. An individual's right to privacy will always outweigh an unperceivable public</p>

MUCHNICK V. DHS

CASE NO.: 15-cv-03060-CRB (Northern District California)

VAUGHN INDEX

				<p>interest in the disclosure of such information.</p> <p><u>LES</u> FOIA exemption (b)(7)(E) affords protection to all law enforcement information that would disclose techniques and procedures for law enforcement investigations or prosecutions, or would disclose guidelines for law enforcement investigations or prosecutions if such disclosure could reasonably be expected to risk circumvention of the law. The “law” to be enforced within the meaning of the term “law enforcement purposes” includes both civil and criminal statutes, as well as those statutes authorizing administrative proceedings. The information redacted from this document illustrates the specific types of information sought by immigration officials in conducting background checks for applicants for immigration benefits. The public disclosure of this information would allow for the circumvention of immigration employment laws by those investigated by immigration authorities, and nullify USCIS’ ability to ensure the integrity of the Immigration and Nationality Act.</p>
18	Page 48	Record of biometric background check prepared by Federal law enforcement dated 9/2/10	Withheld in full pursuant to 552(b)(6)	<p><u>PP</u> Information regarding a private individual has been redacted in accordance with FOIA exemption (b)(6). Specifically, the document includes the alien number, name, date of birth, and the results of the background check of an applicant for an immigration benefit. The public release of this document would reveal results of a background check. The disclosure of this information would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. This information will not enhance the public’s understanding of how the Agency performs its statutory duties -- further evidence that there is no discernable public interest in the disclosure of this information. An individual’s right to privacy will always outweigh an unperceivable public interest in the disclosure of such information. Consequently, this information is entitled to the protection of FOIA exemption (b)(6) since its disclosure would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of the individuals’ right to personal privacy.</p>
19	Page 49	Memo prepared by	Withheld in	<u>PP</u>

MUCHNICK V. DHS

CASE NO.: 15-cv-03060-CRB (Northern District California)

VAUGHN INDEX

		<p>Agency security investigators dated 8/3/10/</p>	<p>full pursuant to 552(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) and (b)(7)(E)</p>	<p>Information regarding a private individual has been redacted in accordance with FOIA exemption (b)(6). Specifically, the memo includes the name and criminal record of an applicant for an immigration benefit. The public release of this document would further reveal results of a background check. The disclosure of this information would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. This information will not enhance the public's understanding of how the Agency performs its statutory duties -- further evidence that there is no discernable public interest in the disclosure of this information. An individual's right to privacy will always outweigh an unperceivable public interest in the disclosure of such information. Consequently, this information is entitled to the protection of FOIA exemption (b)(6) since its disclosure would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of the individuals' right to personal privacy.</p> <p><u>LEP</u> FOIA exemption (b)(7)(C) protects records or information compiled for law enforcement purposes, where the production of such law enforcement records or information could reasonably be expected to constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. The portion of this document, which was prepared in the course of administering the Immigration and Nationality Act, is redacted under (b)(7)(C) and includes the name of an adjudicating officer. This information pertains solely to third parties and the disclosure of this information will not enhance the public's understanding of how the Agency performs its statutory duties -- further evidence that there is no discernible public interest in the disclosure of this information. These individuals have a significant interest in avoiding unwanted public attention, notoriety or potential harassment. An individual's right to privacy will always outweigh an unperceivable public interest in the disclosure of such information.</p> <p><u>LES</u> FOIA exemption (b)(7)(E) affords protection to all law enforcement information that would disclose techniques and procedures for law enforcement investigations or prosecutions, or would disclose guidelines for law enforcement investigations or prosecutions if such disclosure could</p>
--	--	--	--	---

MUCHNICK V. DHS

CASE NO.: 15-cv-03060-CRB (Northern District California)

VAUGHN INDEX

				<p>reasonably be expected to risk circumvention of the law. The “law” to be enforced within the meaning of the term “law enforcement purposes” includes both civil and criminal statutes, as well as those statutes authorizing administrative proceedings. The information redacted from this document illustrates the specific types of information sought by immigration officials in conducting background checks for applicants for immigration benefits, and the information which they deem pertinent to the adjudication of an application under the INA. The public disclosure of this information would allow for the circumvention of immigration employment laws by those investigated by immigration authorities, and nullify USCIS’ ability to ensure the integrity of the Immigration and Nationality Act.</p>
20	Page 50	Interagency memorandum relating to an enforcement matter dated 7/20/10.	Withheld in full pursuant to 552(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) and (b)(7)(E)	<p><u>PP</u> Information regarding a private individual has been redacted in accordance with FOIA exemption (b)(6). Specifically, the memo includes the name and reference number associated with an applicant for an immigration benefit. The public release of this document would further reveal results of a background check. The disclosure of this information would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. This information will not enhance the public’s understanding of how the Agency performs its statutory duties -- further evidence that there is no discernable public interest in the disclosure of this information. An individual’s right to privacy will always outweigh an unperceivable public interest in the disclosure of such information. Consequently, this information is entitled to the protection of FOIA exemption (b)(6) since its disclosure would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of the individuals’ right to personal privacy.</p> <p><u>LEP</u> FOIA exemption (b)(7)(C) protects records or information compiled for law enforcement purposes, where the production of such law enforcement records or information could reasonably be expected to constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. The portion of this document, which was prepared in the course of administering the Immigration and Nationality Act, is redacted under (b)(7)(C) and includes the name of a special agent and an adjudicating investigator from USCIS. This information pertains solely to third parties and the disclosure of this</p>

MUCHNICK V. DHS

CASE NO.: 15-cv-03060-CRB (Northern District California)

VAUGHN INDEX

				<p>information will not enhance the public’s understanding of how the Agency performs its statutory duties -- further evidence that there is no discernible public interest in the disclosure of this information. These individuals have a significant interest in avoiding unwanted public attention, notoriety or potential harassment. An individual’s right to privacy will always outweigh an unperceivable public interest in the disclosure of such information.</p> <p><u>LES</u> FOIA exemption (b)(7)(E) affords protection to all law enforcement information that would disclose techniques and procedures for law enforcement investigations or prosecutions, or would disclose guidelines for law enforcement investigations or prosecutions if such disclosure could reasonably be expected to risk circumvention of the law. The “law” to be enforced within the meaning of the term “law enforcement purposes” includes both civil and criminal statutes, as well as those statutes authorizing administrative proceedings. The information redacted from this document illustrates the specific types of information examined and considered by immigration officers. The public disclosure of this information would allow for the circumvention of immigration employment laws by those investigated by immigration authorities, and nullify USCIS’ ability to ensure the integrity of the Immigration and Nationality Act.</p>
21	Page 51-52	Law enforcement referral memorandum dated 7/14/2010	Withheld in full pursuant to 552(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) and (b)(7)(E)	<p><u>PP</u> Information regarding a private individual has been redacted in accordance with FOIA exemption (b)(6). Specifically, the memo includes the name, date of birth, place of birth, current address, alien number, and reference number associated with an applicant for an immigration benefit. The public release of this document would further reveal results of a background check. The disclosure of this information would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. This information will not enhance the public’s understanding of how the Agency performs its statutory duties -- further evidence that there is no discernible public interest in the disclosure of this information. An individual’s right to privacy will always outweigh an unperceivable public interest in the disclosure of such information. Consequently, this information is entitled to the protection of FOIA</p>

MUCHNICK V. DHS

CASE NO.: 15-cv-03060-CRB (Northern District California)

VAUGHN INDEX

				<p>exemption (b)(6) since its disclosure would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of the individuals’ right to personal privacy.</p> <p><u>LEP</u> FOIA exemption (b)(7)(C) protects records or information compiled for law enforcement purposes, where the production of such law enforcement records or information could reasonably be expected to constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. The portion of this document, which was prepared in the course of administering the Immigration and Nationality Act, is redacted under (b)(7)(C) and includes the name of an adjudicating immigration officer and federal agent. This information pertains solely to third parties and the disclosure of this information will not enhance the public’s understanding of how the Agency performs its statutory duties -- further evidence that there is no discernible public interest in the disclosure of this information. These individuals have a significant interest in avoiding unwanted public attention, notoriety or potential harassment. An individual’s right to privacy will always outweigh an unperceivable public interest in the disclosure of such information.</p> <p><u>LES</u> FOIA exemption (b)(7)(E) affords protection to all law enforcement information that would disclose techniques and procedures for law enforcement investigations or prosecutions, or would disclose guidelines for law enforcement investigations or prosecutions if such disclosure could reasonably be expected to risk circumvention of the law. The “law” to be enforced within the meaning of the term “law enforcement purposes” includes both civil and criminal statutes, as well as those statutes authorizing administrative proceedings. The information redacted from this document illustrates the specific types of information examined and considered by immigration officers, the data bases used, and how agencies communicate investigatory results and information. The public disclosure of this information would allow for the circumvention of immigration employment laws by those investigated by immigration authorities, and nullify USCIS’ ability to ensure the integrity of the Immigration and Nationality Act.</p>
--	--	--	--	--

MUCHNICK V. DHS

CASE NO.: 15-cv-03060-CRB (Northern District California)

VAUGHN INDEX

<p>22</p>	<p>Page 53</p>	<p>1 page electronic database printout from DHS background check system dated 7/14/10</p>	<p>Withheld in full pursuant to 552(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) and (b)(7)(E)</p>	<p><u>PP</u> Information regarding a private individual has been redacted in accordance with FOIA exemption (b)(6). Specifically, the memo includes the name and date of birth of an applicant for an immigration benefit. The public release of this document would further reveal results of a background check. The disclosure of this information would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. This information will not enhance the public's understanding of how the Agency performs its statutory duties -- further evidence that there is no discernable public interest in the disclosure of this information. An individual's right to privacy will always outweigh an unperceivable public interest in the disclosure of such information. Consequently, this information is entitled to the protection of FOIA exemption (b)(6) since its disclosure would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of the individuals' right to personal privacy.</p> <p><u>LEP</u> FOIA exemption (b)(7)(C) protects records or information compiled for law enforcement purposes, where the production of such law enforcement records or information could reasonably be expected to constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. The portion of this document, which was prepared in the course of administering the Immigration and Nationality Act, is redacted under (b)(7)(C) and includes numerical identification of an adjudicating immigration officer. This information pertains solely to third parties and the disclosure of this information will not enhance the public's understanding of how the Agency performs its statutory duties -- further evidence that there is no discernible public interest in the disclosure of this information. These individuals have a significant interest in avoiding unwanted public attention, notoriety or potential harassment. An individual's right to privacy will always outweigh an unperceivable public interest in the disclosure of such information.</p> <p><u>LES</u> FOIA exemption (b)(7)(E) affords protection to all law enforcement information that would disclose techniques and procedures for law enforcement investigations or prosecutions, or would disclose guidelines for</p>
-----------	----------------	---	--	--

MUCHNICK V. DHS

CASE NO.: 15-cv-03060-CRB (Northern District California)

VAUGHN INDEX

				<p>law enforcement investigations or prosecutions if such disclosure could reasonably be expected to risk circumvention of the law. The “law” to be enforced within the meaning of the term “law enforcement purposes” includes both civil and criminal statutes, as well as those statutes authorizing administrative proceedings. This document illustrates the specific types of information examined and considered by immigration officers, the databases used, and how agencies communicate investigatory results and information. The public disclosure of this information would allow for the circumvention of immigration employment laws by those investigated by immigration authorities, and nullify USCIS’ ability to ensure the integrity of the Immigration and Nationality Act.</p>
23	Page 54	Background check memorandum prepared by USCIS dated 8/3/2010.	Withheld in full pursuant to 552(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) and (b)(7)(E)	<p><u>PP</u> Information regarding a private individual has been redacted in accordance with FOIA exemption (b)(6). Specifically, the memo includes the name and date of birth of an applicant for an immigration benefit. The public release of this document would further reveal results of a background check. The disclosure of this information would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. This information will not enhance the public’s understanding of how the Agency performs its statutory duties -- further evidence that there is no discernable public interest in the disclosure of this information. An individual’s right to privacy will always outweigh an unperceivable public interest in the disclosure of such information. Consequently, this information is entitled to the protection of FOIA exemption (b)(6) since its disclosure would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of the individuals’ right to personal privacy.</p> <p><u>LEP</u> FOIA exemption (b)(7)(C) protects records or information compiled for law enforcement purposes, where the production of such law enforcement records or information could reasonably be expected to constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. The portion of this document, which was prepared in the course of administering the Immigration and Nationality Act, is redacted under (b)(7)(C) and includes numerical identification of an adjudicating immigration officer. This information</p>

MUCHNICK V. DHS

CASE NO.: 15-cv-03060-CRB (Northern District California)

VAUGHN INDEX

				<p>pertains solely to third parties and the disclosure of this information will not enhance the public’s understanding of how the Agency performs its statutory duties -- further evidence that there is no discernible public interest in the disclosure of this information. These individuals have a significant interest in avoiding unwanted public attention, notoriety or potential harassment. An individual’s right to privacy will always outweigh an unperceivable public interest in the disclosure of such information.</p> <p><u>LES</u> FOIA exemption (b)(7)(E) affords protection to all law enforcement information that would disclose techniques and procedures for law enforcement investigations or prosecutions, or would disclose guidelines for law enforcement investigations or prosecutions if such disclosure could reasonably be expected to risk circumvention of the law. The “law” to be enforced within the meaning of the term “law enforcement purposes” includes both civil and criminal statutes, as well as those statutes authorizing administrative proceedings. This memo illustrates the specific types of information examined and considered by immigration officers, the data bases used, and how agencies communicate investigatory results and information. The public disclosure of this information would allow for the circumvention of immigration employment laws by those investigated by immigration authorities, and nullify USCIS’ ability to ensure the integrity of the Immigration and Nationality Act.</p>
24	Page 55	1 page record of results generated by electronic database	Withheld in full pursuant to 552(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) and (b)(7)(E)	<p><u>PP</u> Information regarding a private individual has been redacted in accordance with FOIA exemption (b)(6). Specifically, the routing sheet includes the name and date of birth of an applicant for an immigration benefit. The public release of this document would reveal results of a background check. The disclosure of this information would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. This information will not enhance the public’s understanding of how the Agency performs its statutory duties -- further evidence that there is no discernible public interest in the disclosure of this information. An individual’s right to privacy will always outweigh an unperceivable public interest in the disclosure of such information.</p>

MUCHNICK V. DHS

CASE NO.: 15-cv-03060-CRB (Northern District California)

VAUGHN INDEX

			<p>Consequently, this information is entitled to the protection of FOIA exemption (b)(6) since its disclosure would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of the individuals’ right to personal privacy.</p> <p><u>LEP</u> FOIA exemption (b)(7)(C) protects records or information compiled for law enforcement purposes, where the production of such law enforcement records or information could reasonably be expected to constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. The portion of this document, which was prepared in the course of administering the Immigration and Nationality Act, is redacted under (b)(7)(C) and includes the identifying information associated with immigration officers. This information pertains solely to third parties and the disclosure of this information will not enhance the public’s understanding of how the Agency performs its statutory duties -- further evidence that there is no discernible public interest in the disclosure of this information. These individuals have a significant interest in avoiding unwanted public attention, notoriety or potential harassment. An individual’s right to privacy will always outweigh an unperceivable public interest in the disclosure of such information.</p> <p><u>LES</u> FOIA exemption (b)(7)(E) affords protection to all law enforcement information that would disclose techniques and procedures for law enforcement investigations or prosecutions, or would disclose guidelines for law enforcement investigations or prosecutions if such disclosure could reasonably be expected to risk circumvention of the law. The “law” to be enforced within the meaning of the term “law enforcement purposes” includes both civil and criminal statutes, as well as those statutes authorizing administrative proceedings. The information redacted from this document illustrates the specific types of information sought by immigration officials in conducting background checks for applicants for immigration benefits. The public disclosure of this information would allow for the circumvention of immigration employment laws by those investigated by immigration authorities, and nullify USCIS’ ability to ensure the integrity of the Immigration and Nationality Act.</p>
--	--	--	---

MUCHNICK V. DHS

CASE NO.: 15-cv-03060-CRB (Northern District California)

VAUGHN INDEX

25	Pages 56-60	5 page record of results generated by electronic database	Withheld in full pursuant to 552(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) and (b)(7)(E)	<p><u>PP</u> Information regarding a private individual has been redacted in accordance with FOIA exemption (b)(6). Specifically, the routing sheet includes the name and date of birth of an applicant for an immigration benefit. The public release of this document would reveal results of a background check. The disclosure of this information would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. This information will not enhance the public's understanding of how the Agency performs its statutory duties -- further evidence that there is no discernable public interest in the disclosure of this information. An individual's right to privacy will always outweigh an unperceivable public interest in the disclosure of such information. Consequently, this information is entitled to the protection of FOIA exemption (b)(6) since its disclosure would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of the individuals' right to personal privacy.</p> <p><u>LEP</u> FOIA exemption (b)(7)(C) protects records or information compiled for law enforcement purposes, where the production of such law enforcement records or information could reasonably be expected to constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. The portion of this document, which was prepared in the course of administering the Immigration and Nationality Act, is redacted under (b)(7)(C) and includes the identifying information associated with immigration officers. This information pertains solely to third parties and the disclosure of this information will not enhance the public's understanding of how the Agency performs its statutory duties -- further evidence that there is no discernible public interest in the disclosure of this information. These individuals have a significant interest in avoiding unwanted public attention, notoriety or potential harassment. An individual's right to privacy will always outweigh an unperceivable public interest in the disclosure of such information.</p> <p><u>LES</u> FOIA exemption (b)(7)(E) affords protection to all law enforcement information that would disclose techniques and procedures for law</p>
----	-------------	---	---	---

MUCHNICK V. DHS

CASE NO.: 15-cv-03060-CRB (Northern District California)

VAUGHN INDEX

				<p>enforcement investigations or prosecutions, or would disclose guidelines for law enforcement investigations or prosecutions if such disclosure could reasonably be expected to risk circumvention of the law. The “law” to be enforced within the meaning of the term “law enforcement purposes” includes both civil and criminal statutes, as well as those statutes authorizing administrative proceedings. The information redacted from this document illustrates the specific types of information sought by immigration officials in conducting background checks for applicants for immigration benefits. The public disclosure of this information would allow for the circumvention of immigration employment laws by those investigated by immigration authorities, and nullify USCIS’ ability to ensure the integrity of the Immigration and Nationality Act.</p>
26	Pages 61-63	3 page record of biometric background check prepared by Federal law enforcement	Withheld in full pursuant to 552(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) and (b)(7)(E)	<p><u>PP</u> Information regarding a private individual has been redacted in accordance with FOIA exemption (b)(6). Specifically, the routing sheet includes the name, alien number, home address and date of birth of an applicant for an immigration benefit. The public release of this document would reveal results of a background check. The disclosure of this information would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. This information will not enhance the public’s understanding of how the Agency performs its statutory duties -- further evidence that there is no discernable public interest in the disclosure of this information. An individual’s right to privacy will always outweigh an unperceivable public interest in the disclosure of such information. Consequently, this information is entitled to the protection of FOIA exemption (b)(6) since its disclosure would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of the individuals’ right to personal privacy.</p> <p><u>LEP</u> FOIA exemption (b)(7)(C) protects records or information compiled for law enforcement purposes, where the production of such law enforcement records or information could reasonably be expected to constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. The portion of this document, which was prepared in the course of administering the Immigration and</p>

MUCHNICK V. DHS

CASE NO.: 15-cv-03060-CRB (Northern District California)

VAUGHN INDEX

				<p>Nationality Act, is redacted under (b)(7)(C) and includes the identifying information associated with immigration officers. This information pertains solely to third parties and the disclosure of this information will not enhance the public’s understanding of how the Agency performs its statutory duties -- further evidence that there is no discernible public interest in the disclosure of this information. These individuals have a significant interest in avoiding unwanted public attention, notoriety or potential harassment. An individual’s right to privacy will always outweigh an unperceivable public interest in the disclosure of such information.</p> <p><u>LES</u> FOIA exemption (b)(7)(E) affords protection to all law enforcement information that would disclose techniques and procedures for law enforcement investigations or prosecutions, or would disclose guidelines for law enforcement investigations or prosecutions if such disclosure could reasonably be expected to risk circumvention of the law. The “law” to be enforced within the meaning of the term “law enforcement purposes” includes both civil and criminal statutes, as well as those statutes authorizing administrative proceedings. The information redacted from this document illustrates the specific types of information sought by immigration officials in conducting background checks for applicants for immigration benefits. The public disclosure of this information would allow for the circumvention of immigration employment laws by those investigated by immigration authorities, and nullify USCIS’ ability to ensure the integrity of the Immigration and Nationality Act.</p>
27	Page 64	1 page USCIS printout from electronic record tracking system dated 4/16/10.	Withheld in full pursuant to 552(b)(6)	<p><u>PP</u> Information regarding a private individual has been redacted in accordance with FOIA exemption (b)(6). Specifically, the routing sheet includes the name, alien number, and date of birth of an applicant for an immigration benefit. The public release of this document would reveal results of an individual’s background check. The disclosure of this information would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. This information will not enhance the public’s understanding of how the Agency performs its statutory duties -- further evidence that there is no discernible</p>

MUCHNICK V. DHS

CASE NO.: 15-cv-03060-CRB (Northern District California)

VAUGHN INDEX

				public interest in the disclosure of this information. An individual's right to privacy will always outweigh an unperceivable public interest in the disclosure of such information. Consequently, this information is entitled to the protection of FOIA exemption (b)(6) since its disclosure would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of the individuals' right to personal privacy.
28	Page 65	1 page printout from USCIS electronic database dated 4/16/2010.	Withheld in full pursuant to 552(b)(6)	<u>PP</u> Information regarding a private individual has been redacted in accordance with FOIA exemption (b)(6). Specifically, the routing sheet includes the name, alien number, and date of birth of an applicant for an immigration benefit. The public release of this document would reveal results of a background check. The disclosure of this information would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. This information will not enhance the public's understanding of how the Agency performs its statutory duties -- further evidence that there is no discernable public interest in the disclosure of this information. An individual's right to privacy will always outweigh an unperceivable public interest in the disclosure of such information. Consequently, this information is entitled to the protection of FOIA exemption (b)(6) since its disclosure would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of the individuals' right to personal privacy.
29	Page 66	1 page printout from USCIS electronic database	Withheld in full pursuant to 552(b)(6)	<u>PP</u> Information regarding a private individual has been redacted in accordance with FOIA exemption (b)(6). Specifically, the routing sheet includes the name, alien number, and date of birth of an applicant for an immigration benefit. The public release of this document would reveal results of a background check. The disclosure of this information would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. This information will not enhance the public's understanding of how the Agency performs its statutory duties -- further evidence that there is no discernable public interest in the disclosure of this information. An individual's right to privacy will always outweigh an unperceivable public interest in the disclosure of such information. Consequently, this information is entitled to the protection of FOIA exemption (b)(6) since its disclosure would constitute a clearly

MUCHNICK V. DHS

CASE NO.: 15-cv-03060-CRB (Northern District California)

VAUGHN INDEX

				unwarranted invasion of the individuals' right to personal privacy.
30	Page 67	1 page N-400 Inventory Checklist	Withheld in full pursuant to 552(b)(6)	<u>PP</u> Information regarding a private individual has been redacted in accordance with FOIA exemption (b)(6). Specifically, the name, alien number, and date of birth. The public release of this document would reveal results of a background check. The disclosure of this information would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. This information will not enhance the public's understanding of how the Agency performs its statutory duties -- further evidence that there is no discernable public interest in the disclosure of this information. An individual's right to privacy will always outweigh an unperceivable public interest in the disclosure of such information. Consequently, this information is entitled to the protection of FOIA exemption (b)(6) since its disclosure would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of the individuals' right to personal privacy.
31	Pages 68-69	2 page Notice issued to applicant regarding N-400 Application dated 9/27/10.	Withheld in full pursuant to 552(b)(6)	<u>PP</u> Information regarding a private individual has been redacted in accordance with FOIA exemption (b)(6). Specifically, the name, alien number, and date of birth. This document also includes a request for information that, if publicly released, would reveal the types of information needed by USCIS for the adjudication of an application, thereby revealing personal information about individual applicant. The public release of this document would reveal results of a background check. The disclosure of this information would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. This information will not enhance the public's understanding of how the Agency performs its statutory duties -- further evidence that there is no discernable public interest in the disclosure of this information. An individual's right to privacy will always outweigh an unperceivable public interest in the disclosure of such information. Consequently, this information is entitled to the protection of FOIA exemption (b)(6) since its disclosure would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of the individuals' right to personal privacy.
32	Page 70	1 page electronic	Withheld in	<u>PP</u>

MUCHNICK V. DHS

CASE NO.: 15-cv-03060-CRB (Northern District California)

VAUGHN INDEX

		database query printout dated 9/23/10.	full pursuant to 552(b)(6)	Information regarding a private individual has been redacted in accordance with FOIA exemption (b)(6). Specifically, the name, alien number, and date of birth. The public release of this document would reveal results of a background check. The disclosure of this information would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. This information will not enhance the public's understanding of how the Agency performs its statutory duties -- further evidence that there is no discernable public interest in the disclosure of this information. An individual's right to privacy will always outweigh an unperceivable public interest in the disclosure of such information. Consequently, this information is entitled to the protection of FOIA exemption (b)(6) since its disclosure would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of the individuals' right to personal privacy.
33	Page 74	1 page DHS form	Withheld in full pursuant to 552(b)(6)	<u>PP</u> Information regarding a private individual has been redacted in accordance with FOIA exemption (b)(6). Specifically, the name, address, and e-mail address of a third party. The disclosure of this information would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. This information will not enhance the public's understanding of how the Agency performs its statutory duties -- further evidence that there is no discernable public interest in the disclosure of this information. An individual's right to privacy will always outweigh an unperceivable public interest in the disclosure of such information. Consequently, this information is entitled to the protection of FOIA exemption (b)(6) since its disclosure would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of the individuals' right to personal privacy.
34	Pages 75-83	Nine Page report prepared by an independent investigator dated 3/18/2010.	Withheld in full pursuant to 552(b)(6)	<u>PP</u> Information regarding private individuals has been redacted in accordance with FOIA exemption (b)(6). Specifically, the name, home addresses, employment history, and phone numbers of several individuals. The disclosure of this information would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. This information will not enhance the public's understanding of how the Agency performs its statutory duties -- further evidence that there is no discernable public interest in the disclosure of this information. An individual's right to privacy will always outweigh an

MUCHNICK V. DHS

CASE NO.: 15-cv-03060-CRB (Northern District California)

VAUGHN INDEX

				unperceivable public interest in the disclosure of such information. Consequently, this information is entitled to the protection of FOIA exemption (b)(6) since its disclosure would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of the individuals' right to personal privacy.
35	Page 84	Third Agency Registration Form, Form NSN 7540-01-126-7761 dated 4/20/1993.	Withheld in full pursuant to 552(b)(6)	<u>PP</u> Information regarding a private individual has been redacted in accordance with FOIA exemption (b)(6). Specifically, the name, address, date of birth, and passport number. The disclosure of this information would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. This information will not enhance the public's understanding of how the Agency performs its statutory duties -- further evidence that there is no discernable public interest in the disclosure of this information. An individual's right to privacy will always outweigh an unperceivable public interest in the disclosure of such information. Consequently, this information is entitled to the protection of FOIA exemption (b)(6) since its disclosure would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of the individuals' right to personal privacy.
36	Page 85	1 page electronic database query printout	Withheld in full pursuant to 552(b)(6)	<u>PP</u> Information regarding a private individual has been redacted in accordance with FOIA exemption (b)(6). Specifically, the name and date of birth. The disclosure of this information would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. This information will not enhance the public's understanding of how the Agency performs its statutory duties -- further evidence that there is no discernable public interest in the disclosure of this information. An individual's right to privacy will always outweigh an unperceivable public interest in the disclosure of such information. Consequently, this information is entitled to the protection of FOIA exemption (b)(6) since its disclosure would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of the individuals' right to personal privacy.
37	Page 86	Third Agency Application Form	Withheld in full pursuant to 552(b)(6)	<u>PP</u> Information regarding private individuals has been redacted in accordance with FOIA exemption (b)(6). Specifically, the names, addresses, and dates

MUCHNICK V. DHS

CASE NO.: 15-cv-03060-CRB (Northern District California)

VAUGHN INDEX

				of birth. The disclosure of this information would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. This information will not enhance the public's understanding of how the Agency performs its statutory duties -- further evidence that there is no discernable public interest in the disclosure of this information. An individual's right to privacy will always outweigh an unperceivable public interest in the disclosure of such information. Consequently, this information is entitled to the protection of FOIA exemption (b)(6) since its disclosure would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of the individuals' right to personal privacy.
38	Page 88	Foreign police department form dated 1/15/1992.	Withheld in full pursuant to 552(b)(6)	<u>PP</u> Information regarding a private individual has been redacted in accordance with FOIA exemption (b)(6). Specifically, the name and date of birth. The disclosure of this information would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. This information will not enhance the public's understanding of how the Agency performs its statutory duties -- further evidence that there is no discernable public interest in the disclosure of this information. An individual's right to privacy will always outweigh an unperceivable public interest in the disclosure of such information. Consequently, this information is entitled to the protection of FOIA exemption (b)(6) since its disclosure would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of the individuals' right to personal
39	Page 93	Job offer from a private employer	Withheld in full pursuant to 552(b)(6)	<u>PP</u> Information regarding a private individual has been redacted in accordance with FOIA exemption (b)(6). Specifically, the name and personal salary information. The disclosure of this information would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. This information will not enhance the public's understanding of how the Agency performs its statutory duties -- further evidence that there is no discernable public interest in the disclosure of this information. An individual's right to privacy will always outweigh an unperceivable public interest in the disclosure of such information. Consequently, this information is entitled to the protection of FOIA exemption (b)(6) since its disclosure would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of the individuals' right to personal privacy.

MUCHNICK V. DHS

CASE NO.: 15-cv-03060-CRB (Northern District California)

VAUGHN INDEX

40	Pages 97-102	Application for Immigrant and Alien Registration dated 8/18/1992(Form 230 I)	Withheld in full pursuant to 552(b)(6)	<p><u>PP</u> Information regarding private individuals has been redacted in accordance with FOIA exemption (b)(6). Specifically, the names, addresses, and dates of birth. The disclosure of this information would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. This information will not enhance the public's understanding of how the Agency performs its statutory duties -- further evidence that there is no discernable public interest in the disclosure of this information. An individual's right to privacy will always outweigh an unperceivable public interest in the disclosure of such information. Consequently, this information is entitled to the protection of FOIA exemption (b)(6) since its disclosure would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of the individuals' right to personal privacy.</p>