1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Roy S. Gordet, State Bar No. 103916 Law Office of Roy S. Gordet 235 Westlake Center #452 Daly City CA 94015 Tel. (650) 757-6147 Fax (650) 735-3380 Email roy@copyrightdirection.com Attorney for Petitioner Irvin Muchnick	
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
9 10	FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA	
	IRVIN MUCHNICK,	Case No. RG17857115
12	Petitioner,	
13	vs.	HAYWARD DIVISION
14 15	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BOARD OF) REGENTS,)	SECOND DECLARATION OF ROY S. GORDET IN SUPPORT OF REPLY FOR PRIVACY MOTION
16	Respondent.)	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21	Hearing Date: August 1, 2018 Time: 9:00 a.m. Judge: Hon. Jeffrey S. Brand	
22		Dep't: 507
23	Petition Filed: April 18, 2017	
24	I, Roy S. Gordet, under penalty of perjury, under the laws of the State of California, states as	
25	follows: 1. I am a Member of the Bar of California and admitted to practice before all state and Federal	
26	courts in California.	
27		

- 2. I am counsel of record to Petitioner Irvin Muchnick in the above-captioned lawsuit. I make these statements based on my personal knowledge, unless otherwise noted.
- 3. I informed Mr. Goldstein by email on June 25, 2018 that I intentionally set the return date of the Motion to coincide with the previously set August 1 Case Management Hearing.
- 4. This scheduling was done in order to consolidate and make the motion and hearing procedure more efficient for all concerned, including the mere setting of the return date.
- 5. I also informed Mr. Goldstein on June 25, 2018 that our side would be prejudiced by a continuance of the August 1 hearing date for the reason that in reliance on the Court's scheduling of the Case Management Hearing date I had purchased tickets to Sydney, Australia for a combined business and vacation trip from August 4 to August 26.
- 6. I am a sole practitioner and actually had intended to take this trip in June or July but postponed it in light of the Court's setting of the Case Management Hearing in this case for August 1, 2018.
- 7. Respondent in open Court claimed staffing issues for seeking the extended August 1 due date for its report on categorizations and further disclosures, and Petitioner assented in a spirit of cooperation.
- 8. The parties also agreed in open Court, again with the Court's assent, that as Respondent came across additional documents to disclose, Respondent would disclose same to Petitioner on a "rolling basis" prior to the next scheduled Case Management Conference on August 1.
- 9. As of the date of filing this Reply Memorandum, Respondent has not disclosed a single additional document.
- 10. At the last hearing, the Court acknowledged that further delay diminishes and undercuts what Petitioner seeks to achieve, and this Petition should proceed at a more rapid pace.

Executed on July 24, 2018 in Daly City, California

Roy S. Gordet